

# Mumford High School



The **Appendix Report** is designed to provide the most in-depth information about a school's results on each metric of the Performance Framework. Use the column definitions on this first page to review the information on the subsequent pages.

<b>Current Year</b>	The school's 2014-15 result for a metric
<b>Comparison</b>	The type of comparison (i.e., similar schools, all schools, prior year(s))
<b>Rating</b>	The school's metric rating (i.e., <b>Very Low</b> , <b>Low</b> , <b>Intermediate</b> , <b>High</b> , or <b>Very High</b> )
<b>Pts Earned</b>	The number of points earned for a metric result and rating (1-10 points possible)
<b># of Schools</b>	The number of schools whose results are included in the comparison group (e.g., the group of similar schools, etc.)
<b># of Prior Years</b>	The number of prior years of results that are included for the comparison group

<b>Min Value [V. Low]</b>	The <b>lowest</b> metric result for <b>any school</b> in the comparison group. If this is the only cell highlighted, the school's metric result received a <b>Very Low</b> rating.
<b>Low</b>	The minimum result needed to earn a <b>Low</b> rating (metric results below this value receive a <b>Very Low</b> rating). This number is the same for <b>all schools</b> in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a <b>Low</b> rating.
<b>Intermediate</b>	The minimum result needed to earn an <b>Intermediate</b> rating (metric results below this value receive a <b>Low</b> , or <b>Very Low</b> rating). This number is the same for <b>all schools</b> in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received an <b>Intermediate</b> rating.
<b>High</b>	The minimum result needed to earn a <b>High</b> rating (metric results below this value receive an <b>Intermediate</b> , <b>Low</b> , or <b>Very Low</b> rating). This number is the same for <b>all schools</b> in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a <b>High</b> rating.
<b>V. High</b>	The minimum result needed to earn a <b>Very High</b> rating (metric results below this value receive a <b>High</b> , <b>Intermediate</b> , <b>Low</b> , or <b>Very Low</b> rating). This number is the same for <b>all schools</b> in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a <b>Very High</b> rating.
<b>Max Value</b>	The <b>highest</b> metric result for <b>any school</b> in the comparison group.

<b>Min Value [Sig Declined]</b>	The lowest <b>possible</b> metric result on a particular metric. If this is the only cell highlighted, the school's metric result received a <b>Significantly Declined</b> rating.
<b>Declined</b>	The minimum metric result needed to earn a <b>Declined</b> rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a <b>Declined</b> rating.
<b>Maintained(-)</b>	The minimum metric result needed to earn a <b>Maintained(-)</b> rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a <b>Maintained(-)</b> rating.
<b>Maintained(+)</b>	The school's average metric result for the prior years included in the comparison, and the minimum result needed to earn a <b>Maintained(+)</b> rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a <b>Maintained(+)</b> rating.
<b>Improved</b>	The minimum metric result needed to earn an <b>Improved</b> rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received an <b>Improved</b> rating.
<b>Significantly Improved</b>	The minimum metric result needed to earn a <b>Significantly Improved</b> rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a <b>Significantly Improved</b> rating.

# Mumford High School

313-416-1575 | 17525 Wyoming St | Detroit, MI 48221 | HS

2014-15 Enrollment: 861

2014-15 Demographics: Asian: 0% | Black: 98% | Hispanic: 0% | White: 0% | Economically Disadvantaged: 68% | Special Education: 13%



## Category 1: Strategic Commitment

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
School Quality Review Domain 1 (out of 4)	1.1	Similar Schools	Very Low	0.2	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
		All Schools	Very Low	0.2	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

\*The School Quality Review was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Effective Leaders (out of 100)	40	Similar Schools	Intermediate	5	23	2	11	20	31	48	59	78	
		All Schools	Intermediate	4	59	2	11	21	35	57	71	78	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		2	0	15	15	18	21	22	

Prior Year(s) Results

Year	Score
2012	NA
2013	14
2014	27
2015	40

**Category 2: Culture of Learning**

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
School Quality Review Domain 2 (out of 4)	1.3	Similar Schools	Very Low	0.8	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
		All Schools	Very Low	0.8	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

\*The School Quality Review was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Ambitious Instruction (out of 100)	60	Similar Schools	High	7	19	1	30	35	44	57	66	71	
		All Schools	Intermediate	6	51	1	30	41	50	64	73	78	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		1	0	39	40	44	48	49	

Prior Year(s) Results

Year	Score
2012	NA
2013	44
2014	NA
2015	60

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Collaborative Teachers (out of 100)	44	Similar Schools	High	7	23	2	12	23	31	43	51	75	
		All Schools	Intermediate	5	59	2	10	20	33	53	65	72	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		2	0	13	14	17	20	21	

Prior Year(s) Results

Year	Score
2012	NA
2013	13
2014	25
2015	44

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Insight Survey Score (out of 10)</b>  *The Insight Survey was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.	6.6	Similar Schools	Very High	10	5	0	3.7	4.1	4.7	5.6	6.2	7.2	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Percent of Teachers Retained from Previous Year (out of 100)</b>  	72%	Similar Schools	Very High	10	5	1	45%	48%	52%	60%	65%	72%	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (+)	6		1	1	0%	46%	50%	63%	75%	79%

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Percent of Highly Effective/Effective Teachers Retained from Previous Year (out of 100)</b>  	86%	Similar Schools	Very High	10	5	1	55%	56%	59%	64%	67%	86%	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (+)	6		1	1	0%	52%	56%	72%	88%	92%

**Category 3: Student, Family, & Community Engagement**

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
School Quality Review Domain 3 (out of 4)	1.1	Similar Schools	Very Low	0.4	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
		All Schools	Very Low	0.4	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

\*The School Quality Review was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Involved Families (out of 100)	45	Similar Schools	High	8	9	1	18	23	30	40	47	58	
		All Schools	Intermediate	5	24	1	11	25	38	57	70	76	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		1	0	22	24	28	32	34	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Supportive Environment (out of 100)	43	Similar Schools	High	7	19	1	26	30	35	43	48	52	
		All Schools	Intermediate	4	51	1	26	32	40	53	61	65	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		1	0	23	24	27	30	31	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Parent Survey (out of 100)	63	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	6	1	63	67	72	78	82	91	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	12	1	62	66	72	81	87	90	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4		1	0	56	58	64	70	72	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Percent of Students Retained from Previous Year (out of 100)</b> 	63%	Similar Schools	Low	3	5	1	59%	61%	63%	67%	69%	71%	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (+)	6			1	0%	57%	58%	62%	65%	66%

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Percent of Students Absent 10 School Days or Fewer (out of 100)</b> 	27%	Similar Schools	Low	3	30	3	4%	14%	32%	59%	77%	88%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1350	3	1%	42%	54%	72%	84%	90%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4			3	0%	25%	26%	28%	30%	31%

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Student Attendance Rate (out of 100)</b> 	85%	Similar Schools	High	7	30	3	69%	72%	77%	83%	87%	96%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1350	3	0%	87%	89%	93%	96%	97%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10			3	0%	76%	76%	78%	80%	81%

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Out of School Suspension Rate Per 1000 Students (out of 100)</b>  *For 2014-15, we report the OSS rate but do not include the results in the overall Performance Framework calculations.	2.9	Similar Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Category 4: Student Growth**

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Median Student Growth Percentile Math (out of 100)</b>  *SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.	34.9	Similar Schools	High	7	9	0	24	26	29	34	38	39	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	354	0	24	39	46	57	64	68	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Median Student Growth Percentile ELA (out of 100)</b>  *SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.	37.7	Similar Schools	High	8	9	0	22	24	28	34	39	42	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	354	0	22	42	48	56	62	65	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Median Student Growth Percentile Social Studies (out of 100)</b>  *SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.	30.1	Similar Schools	Intermediate	5	9	0	22	25	28	33	36	39	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	354	0	22	42	48	56	62	65	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
<b>Median Student Growth Percentile Science (out of 100)</b>  *SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.	28.4	Similar Schools	Intermediate	4	9	0	22	24	27	32	35	41	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	354	0	22	39	46	57	64	68	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Category 5: Academic Achievement**

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in ELA (out of 100)	10%	Similar Schools	High	7	10	0	2%	3%	5%	9%	12%	15%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	446	0	0%	19%	31%	50%	62%	68%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

\*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Math (out of 100)	0.6%	Similar Schools	Low	3	10	0	0.0%	0.3%	0.8%	1.7%	2.3%	3.7%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	446	0	0.0%	6.7%	16.6%	31.4%	41.2%	46.2%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

\*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Science (out of 100)	0.0%	Similar Schools	Very Low	1	10	0	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.9%	1.2%	1.7%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	446	0	0.0%	6.6%	16.2%	30.8%	40.4%	45.3%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

\*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Social Studies (out of 100)	1%	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	10	0	0.6%	1.6%	2.7%	4.3%	5.3%	7.8%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	446	0	0.0%	12.9%	25.4%	44.3%	56.9%	63.1%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

\*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.



Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students On-Track at End of 9th Grade (out of 100)	38%	Similar Schools	High	7	5	0	11%	18%	25%	37%	44%	52%	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

\*Reliable transcript data for prior years is not available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Average ACT Score (out of 36)	13.8	Similar Schools	Low	3	30	3	13.1	13.5	13.9	14.4	14.7	15.8	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1333	3	12.7	15.8	17.3	19.7	21.2	22.0	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		3	0.0	13.9	13.9	14.2	14.5	14.5	

Prior Year(s) Results

Year	Score
2012	14.5
2013	14.1
2014	14.1
2015	13.8

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Who Graduate in 4 Years (out of 100)	64%	Similar Schools	Intermediate	5	28	3	36%	48%	58%	73%	83%	96%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1331	3	19%	74%	80%	88%	94%	96%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4		3	0%	59%	60%	65%	70%	71%	

Prior Year(s) Results


Year	Percentage
2012	66%
2013	62%
2014	68%
2015	64%


Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Who Graduate in 5 Years (out of 100)	77%	Similar Schools	Intermediate	5	25	3	62%	65%	72%	81%	88%	98%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1314	3	22%	80%	85%	91%	96%	98%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4		3	0%	72%	73%	77%	82%	83%	


Prior Year(s) Results


Year	Percentage
2012	78%
2013	76%
2014	78%
2015	77%

**Category 6: Prepared for Success**

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value		
<b>Percent of Students Earning a College-Ready Score on All Four ACT Subject Areas (out of 100)</b> Prior Year(s) Results 	0.0%	Similar Schools	Very Low	1	30	3	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0.6%	1.5%		
		All Schools	Very Low	1	1333	3	0.0%	3.5%	10.4%	20.9%	27.8%	31%		
									Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained	5		3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value		
<b>Percent of Students Achieving Silver or Higher on WorkKeys (out of 100)</b> Prior Year(s) Results 	16%	Similar Schools	Low	2	5	1	15%	15.6%	16.4%	17.7%	18.5%	19%		
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
									Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4		1	0%	12%	13%	18%	24%	25%		

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value		
<b>Percent of Former Students Enrolling in College within 6 months of Graduation (out of 100)</b> Prior Year(s) Results 	38%	Similar Schools	Low	3	25	3	27%	32%	39%	49%	56%	78%		
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1317	3	13%	46%	55%	69%	77%	82%		
									Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Declined	2		3	0%	37%	39%	44%	50%	51%		

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value		
<b>Percent of Former Students Enrolling in College Who Do Not Require Remediation (out of 100)</b> Prior Year(s) Results 	15%	Similar Schools	Low	3	24	3	7%	12%	17%	25%	30%	37%		
		All Schools	Very Low	0	963	3	4%	25%	33%	46%	55%	59%		
									Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		3	0%	17%	18%	22%	27%	28%		

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value		
Percent of Students Who Achieve 24 Credits in 12 months (out of 100) 	10%	Similar Schools	Low	3	16	2	5%	7%	11%	15%	19%	28%		
		All Schools	Very Low	0	870	2	5%	19%	29%	44%	54%	59%		
									Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4		2	0%	9%	10%	13%	17%	18%		

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value		
Percent of Students Who Achieve 24 Credits in 24 months (out of 100) 	22%	Similar Schools	Low	2	8	1	18%	21%	26%	34%	39%	46%		
		All Schools	Very Low	0	437	1	5%	32%	42%	58%	68%	73%		
									Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		1	0%	24%	25%	30%	35%	36%		