

Ford High School

The **Appendix Report** is designed to provide the most in-depth information about a school's results on each metric of the Performance Framework. Use the column definitions on this first page to review the information on the subsequent pages.

Current Year	The school's 2014-15 result for a metric
Comparison	The type of comparison (i.e., similar schools, all schools, prior year(s))
Rating	The school's metric rating (i.e., Very Low , Low , Intermediate , High , or Very High)
Pts Earned	The number of points earned for a metric result and rating (1-10 points possible)
# of Schools	The number of schools whose results are included in the comparison group (e.g., the group of similar schools, etc.)
# of Prior Years	The number of prior years of results that are included for the comparison group

Min Value [V. Low]	The lowest metric result for any school in the comparison group. If this is the only cell highlighted, the school's metric result received a Very Low rating.
Low	The minimum result needed to earn a Low rating (metric results below this value receive a Very Low rating). This number is the same for all schools in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Low rating.
Intermediate	The minimum result needed to earn an Intermediate rating (metric results below this value receive a Low , or Very Low rating). This number is the same for all schools in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received an Intermediate rating.
High	The minimum result needed to earn a High rating (metric results below this value receive an Intermediate , Low , or Very Low rating). This number is the same for all schools in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a High rating.
V. High	The minimum result needed to earn a Very High rating (metric results below this value receive a High , Intermediate , Low , or Very Low rating). This number is the same for all schools in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Very High rating.
Max Value	The highest metric result for any school in the comparison group.

Min Value [Sig Declined]	The lowest possible metric result on a particular metric. If this is the only cell highlighted, the school's metric result received a Significantly Declined rating.
Declined	The minimum metric result needed to earn a Declined rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Declined rating.
Maintained(-)	The minimum metric result needed to earn a Maintained(-) rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Maintained(-) rating.
Maintained(+)	The school's average metric result for the prior years included in the comparison, and the minimum result needed to earn a Maintained(+) rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Maintained(+) rating.
Improved	The minimum metric result needed to earn an Improved rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received an Improved rating.
Significantly Improved	The minimum metric result needed to earn a Significantly Improved rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Significantly Improved rating.

Ford High School

313-494-7567 | 20000 Evergreen Rd | Detroit, MI 48219 | HS

2014-15 Enrollment: 512

2014-15 Demographics: Asian: 1% | Black: 97% | Hispanic: 1% | White: 0% | Economically Disadvantaged: 71% | Special Education: 14%



Category 1: Strategic Commitment

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
School Quality Review Domain 1 (out of 4)	1.1	Similar Schools	Very Low	0.2	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
		All Schools	Very Low	0.2	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*The School Quality Review was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Effective Leaders (out of 100)	9	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	23	2	9	20	31	48	59	78	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	59	2	9	21	35	57	71	78	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		2	0	15	16	19	22	23	

Prior Year(s) Results

Year	Score
2012	NA
2013	26
2014	11
2015	9

Category 2: Culture of Learning

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
School Quality Review Domain 2 (out of 4)	1.1	Similar Schools	Very Low	0.3	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
		All Schools	Very Low	0.3	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*The School Quality Review was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Ambitious Instruction (out of 100)	41	Similar Schools	Low	2	17	3	31	40	48	59	66	71	
		All Schools	Low	2	51	3	30	41	50	64	73	78	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		3	0	41	42	46	50	51	

Prior Year(s) Results


Year	Score
2012	58
2013	48
2014	30
2015	41


Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Collaborative Teachers (out of 100)	14	Similar Schools	Very Low	1	23	2	12	19	29	45	55	75	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	59	2	10	20	33	53	65	72	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		2	0	32	33	37	41	42	

Prior Year(s) Results

Year	Score
2012	NA
2013	46
2014	27
2015	14

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Insight Survey Score (out of 10) *The Insight Survey was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.	3.7	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	5	0	3.7	4.8	5.3	6.2	6.7	7.2	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Teachers Retained from Previous Year (out of 100) 	57%	Similar Schools	Intermediate	5	5	1	45%	48%	53%	60%	65%	71%	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (+)	6		1	1	0%	24%	30%	48%	66%	72%

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Highly Effective/Effective Teachers Retained from Previous Year (out of 100) 	NA	Similar Schools	NA	NA	5	1	55%	57%	61%	67%	71%	NA	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		1	1	0%	NA	NA	60%	NA	NA

Category 3: Student, Family, & Community Engagement

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
School Quality Review Domain 3 (out of 4)	1.3	Similar Schools	Very Low	0.8	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
		All Schools	Very Low	0.8	5	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*The School Quality Review was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Involved Families (out of 100)	37	Similar Schools	Intermediate	6	9	1	18	23	30	40	47	58	
		All Schools	Low	3	24	1	11	25	38	57	70	76	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		1	0	40	41	46	51	52	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Supportive Environment (out of 100)	39	Similar Schools	Intermediate	5	17	3	26	29	35	43	48	52	
		All Schools	Low	3	51	3	26	32	40	53	61	65	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		3	0	26	27	31	34	35	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Parent Survey (out of 100)	62	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	6	1	62	66	71	77	82	91	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	12	1	62	66	72	81	87	90	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Declined	2		1	0	61	63	71	78	81	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Retained from Previous Year (out of 100) 	64%	Similar Schools	Intermediate	5	5	1	59%	61%	63%	66%	69%	71%	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4			1	0%	62%	63%	68%	72%	73%

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Absent 10 School Days or Fewer (out of 100) 	22%	Similar Schools	Low	2	30	3	4%	14%	32%	59%	77%	88%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1350	3	1%	42%	54%	72%	84%	90%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4			3	0%	21%	22%	25%	27%	28%

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Student Attendance Rate (out of 100) 	81%	Similar Schools	Intermediate	6	30	3	69%	72%	77%	83%	87%	96%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1350	3	0%	87%	89%	93%	96%	97%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10			3	0%	73%	73%	76%	79%	80%

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Out of School Suspension Rate Per 1000 Students (out of 100) <small>*For 2014-15, we report the OSS rate but do not include the results in the overall Performance Framework calculations.</small>	4.7	Similar Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA			NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Category 4: Student Growth

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Median Student Growth Percentile Math (out of 100) *SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.	23.7	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	9	0	24	30	32	36	38	39	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	354	0	24	39	46	57	64	68	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Median Student Growth Percentile ELA (out of 100) *SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.	22.6	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	9	0	23	25	29	35	39	42	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	354	0	22	42	48	56	62	65	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Median Student Growth Percentile Social Studies (out of 100) *SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.	21.5	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	9	0	22	28	31	34	37	39	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	354	0	22	42	48	56	62	65	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Median Student Growth Percentile Science (out of 100) *SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.	22.0	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	9	0	22	27	29	33	35	41	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	354	0	22	39	46	57	64	68	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Category 5: Academic Achievement

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in ELA (out of 100)	2%	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	10	0	2%	3%	5%	9%	12%	15%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	446	0	0%	19%	31%	50%	62%	68%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.													

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Math (out of 100)	0.0%	Similar Schools	Very Low	1	10	0	0.0%	0.3%	0.8%	1.7%	2.3%	3.7%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	446	0	0.0%	6.7%	16.6%	31.4%	41.2%	46.2%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.													

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Science (out of 100)	0.0%	Similar Schools	Very Low	1	10	0	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	0.9%	1.2%	1.7%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	446	0	0.0%	6.6%	16.2%	30.8%	40.4%	45.3%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.													

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Social Studies (out of 100)	1%	Similar Schools	Low	2	10	0	0.0%	0.8%	1.9%	3.6%	4.7%	7.8%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	446	0	0.0%	12.9%	25.4%	44.3%	56.9%	63.1%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.													

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students On-Track at End of 9th Grade (out of 100)	42%	Similar Schools	High	8	5	0	11%	18%	25%	36%	43%	52%	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*Reliable transcript data for prior years is not available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Average ACT Score (out of 36)	13.2	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	30	3	13.2	13.5	13.8	14.3	14.6	15.8	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1333	3	12.7	15.8	17.3	19.7	21.2	22.0	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4		3	0.0	12.9	13.0	13.2	13.5	13.6	

Prior Year(s) Results

Year	Score
2012	13.1
2013	13.4
2014	13.3
2015	13.2

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Who Graduate in 4 Years (out of 100)	59%	Similar Schools	Intermediate	4	28	3	38%	48%	58%	72%	81%	96%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1331	3	19%	74%	80%	88%	94%	96%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		3	0%	43%	44%	50%	56%	57%	

Prior Year(s) Results


Year	Percentage
2012	51%
2013	59%
2014	36%
2015	59%


Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Who Graduate in 5 Years (out of 100)	45%	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	23	3	45%	65%	71%	79%	85%	98%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1314	3	22%	80%	85%	91%	96%	98%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		3	0%	58%	60%	66%	72%	74%	


Prior Year(s) Results


Year	Percentage
2012	68%
2013	66%
2014	63%
2015	45%

Category 6: Prepared for Success

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value		
Percent of Students Earning a College-Ready Score on All Four ACT Subject Areas (out of 100) Prior Year(s) Results 	0.0%	Similar Schools	Very Low	1	30	3	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%	1.5%		
		All Schools	Very Low	1	1333	3	0.0%	3.5%	10.4%	20.9%	27.8%	31%		
									Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained	5		3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value		
Percent of Students Achieving Silver or Higher on WorkKeys (out of 100) Prior Year(s) Results 	5%	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	5	1	5%	15.6%	16.4%	17.7%	18.5%	19%		
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA		
									Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		1	0%	11%	13%	18%	24%	25%		

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value		
Percent of Former Students Enrolling in College within 6 months of Graduation (out of 100) Prior Year(s) Results 	36%	Similar Schools	Low	3	23	3	27%	31%	36%	45%	50%	78%		
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1317	3	13%	46%	55%	69%	77%	82%		
									Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4		3	0%	30%	32%	39%	46%	48%		

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value		
Percent of Former Students Enrolling in College Who Do Not Require Remediation (out of 100) Prior Year(s) Results 	9%	Similar Schools	Very Low	1	21	3	7%	12%	17%	24%	29%	37%		
		All Schools	Very Low	0	963	3	4%	25%	33%	46%	55%	59%		
									Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		3	0%	14%	15%	20%	25%	26%		

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Who Achieve 24 Credits in 12 months (out of 100) 	8%	Similar Schools	Low	3	14	2	5%	7%	9%	12%	14%	18%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	870	2	5%	19%	29%	44%	54%	59%	
		Min Value [Sig Declined]							Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4		2	0%	4%	5%	9%	13%	14%	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Who Achieve 24 Credits in 24 months (out of 100) 	19%	Similar Schools	Very Low	0	7	1	19%	22%	25%	30%	33%	40%	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	437	1	5%	32%	42%	58%	68%	73%	
		Min Value [Sig Declined]							Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (+)	6		1	0%	11%	12%	18%	23%	24%	