

Mary McLeod Bethune Elementary-Middle School



The **Appendix Report** is designed to provide the most in-depth information about a school's results on each metric of the Performance Framework. Use the column definitions on this first page to review the information on the subsequent pages.

Current Year	The school's 2014-15 result for a metric
Comparison	The type of comparison (i.e., similar schools, all schools, prior year(s))
Rating	The school's metric rating (i.e., Very Low , Low , Intermediate , High , or Very High)
Pts Earned	The number of points earned for a metric result and rating (1-10 points possible)
# of Schools	The number of schools whose results are included in the comparison group (e.g., the group of similar schools, etc.)
# of Prior Years	The number of prior years of results that are included for the comparison group

Min Value [V. Low]	The lowest metric result for any school in the comparison group. If this is the only cell highlighted, the school's metric result received a Very Low rating.
Low	The minimum result needed to earn a Low rating (metric results below this value receive a Very Low rating). This number is the same for all schools in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Low rating.
Intermediate	The minimum result needed to earn an Intermediate rating (metric results below this value receive a Low , or Very Low rating). This number is the same for all schools in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received an Intermediate rating.
High	The minimum result needed to earn a High rating (metric results below this value receive an Intermediate , Low , or Very Low rating). This number is the same for all schools in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a High rating.
V. High	The minimum result needed to earn a Very High rating (metric results below this value receive a High , Intermediate , Low , or Very Low rating). This number is the same for all schools in the comparison group. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Very High rating.
Max Value	The highest metric result for any school in the comparison group.

Min Value [Sig Declined]	The lowest possible metric result on a particular metric. If this is the only cell highlighted, the school's metric result received a Significantly Declined rating.
Declined	The minimum metric result needed to earn a Declined rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Declined rating.
Maintained(-)	The minimum metric result needed to earn a Maintained(-) rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Maintained(-) rating.
Maintained(+)	The school's average metric result for the prior years included in the comparison, and the minimum result needed to earn a Maintained(+) rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Maintained(+) rating.
Improved	The minimum metric result needed to earn an Improved rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received an Improved rating.
Significantly Improved	The minimum metric result needed to earn a Significantly Improved rating. If this is the farthest-right cell that is highlighted, the school's metric result received a Significantly Improved rating.

Mary McLeod Bethune Elementary-Middle School

313-494-3830 | 8145 Puritan Street | Detroit, MI 48227 | K-8

2014-15 Enrollment: 587

2014-15 Demographics: Asian: 0% | Black: 100% | Hispanic: 0% | White: 0% | Economically Disadvantaged: 78% | Special Education: 6%



Category 1: Strategic Commitment

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
School Quality Review Domain 1 (out of 4) *The School Quality Review was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.	2.1	Similar Schools	Low	3.5	8	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
		All Schools	Low	3.5	8	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value										
5 Essentials Survey Effective Leaders (out of 100) <div data-bbox="128 1174 708 1419" data-label="Figure"> <table border="1"> <caption>Prior Year(s) Results</caption> <thead> <tr> <th>Year</th> <th>Score</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2012</td> <td>48</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2013</td> <td>23</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2014</td> <td>35</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2015</td> <td>37</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> </div>	Year	Score	2012	48	2013	23	2014	35	2015	37	37	Similar Schools	Intermediate	6	24	3	3	13	23	38	48	56
	Year	Score																				
	2012	48																				
	2013	23																				
2014	35																					
2015	37																					
All Schools	Intermediate	4	171	3	3	25	36	54	65	71												
						Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved											
Prior Year(s)	Maintained (+)	6		3	0	32	33	37	41	42												

Category 2: Culture of Learning

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
School Quality Review Domain 2 (out of 4)	2.3	Similar Schools	Intermediate	4.4	8	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
		All Schools	Intermediate	4.4	8	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*The School Quality Review was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Ambitious Instruction (out of 100)	67	Similar Schools	Very High	10	19	3	37	42	48	57	63	69	
		All Schools	Intermediate	6	151	3	32	48	57	69	78	82	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		3	0	51	52	56	60	61	

Prior Year(s) Results

Year	Score
2012	68
2013	53
2014	40
2015	67

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Collaborative Teachers (out of 100)	29	Similar Schools	Intermediate	6	24	3	3	11	19	33	42	55	
		All Schools	Low	3	171	3	3	23	35	54	66	72	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4		3	0	27	28	32	36	37	

Prior Year(s) Results

Year	Score
2012	43
2013	20
2014	28
2015	29

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Insight Survey Score (out of 10) *The Insight Survey was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.	6.3	Similar Schools	Very High	10	5	0	4.7	4.9	5.1	5.4	5.6	6.3	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Teachers Retained from Previous Year (out of 100) 	54%	Similar Schools	Intermediate	4	8	1	44%	50%	54%	61%	65%	68%	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (-)	4		1	1	0%	42%	47%	62%	77%	81%

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Highly Effective/Effective Teachers Retained from Previous Year (out of 100) 	82%	Similar Schools	High	7	7	1	56%	61%	68%	78%	85%	91%	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (+)	6		NA	NA	NA	47%	53%	NA	94%	100%

Category 3: Student, Family, & Community Engagement

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
School Quality Review Domain 3 (out of 4)	2.4	Similar Schools	Intermediate	4.6	8	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
		All Schools	Intermediate	4.6	8	0	0	1.6	2.2	2.8	3.4	4	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*The School Quality Review was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Involved Families (out of 100)	31	Similar Schools	Very High	10	8	1	17	19	22	26	29	37	
		All Schools	Intermediate	4	64	1	1	21	30	44	53	58	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		1	0	13	14	19	24	25	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Supportive Environment (out of 100)	50	Similar Schools	High	7	19	3	15	23	33	47	56	73	
		All Schools	Intermediate	5	151	3	15	32	42	57	67	72	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		3	0	33	34	38	43	44	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
5 Essentials Survey Parent Survey (out of 100)	84	Similar Schools	Very High	9	7	1	73	76	78	82	84	89	
		All Schools	High	8	25	1	71	74	77	82	85	86	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Improved	10		1	0	72	73	78	82	84	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Retained from Previous Year (out of 100) 	61%	Similar Schools	Intermediate	5	8	1	53%	56%	59%	64%	67%	69%	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Maintained (+)	6		1	0%	53%	54%	58%	62%	63%	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Absent 10 days or Fewer (out of 100) 	19%	Similar Schools	Low	2	45	3	6%	19%	33%	54%	68%	85%	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	651	3	4%	24%	39%	62%	77%	85%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		3	0%	35%	35%	38%	41%	42%	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Student Attendance Rate (out of 100) 	82%	Similar Schools	Low	2	45	3	75%	81%	84%	89%	92%	96%	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	651	3	4%	83%	87%	92%	95%	96%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	Significantly Declined	0		3	0%	83%	83%	85%	88%	88%	

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Out of School Suspension Rate Per Day Per 1000 Students (out of 1000) <small>*For 2014-15, we report the OSS rate but do not include the results in the overall Performance Framework calculations.</small>	2.2	Similar Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Category 4: Student Growth

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Median Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Math (out of 100)	32.8	Similar Schools	Intermediate	4	7	0	26.1	28.6	32.3	37.8	41.5	49.7	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	1508	0	22.5	33.1	41.3	53.7	61.9	66.0	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Median Student Growth Percentile (SGP) ELA (out of 100)	31.3	Similar Schools	Low	3	7	0	23.1	26.5	31.4	38.7	43.6	50.2	
		All Schools	Very Low	0	1506	0	15.5	37.1	43.3	52.7	58.9	62.0	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Median Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Social Studies (out of 100)	41.7	Similar Schools	Very High	10	7	0	23.2	24.8	27.2	30.8	33.2	41.7	
		All Schools	Low	3	1506	0	18.6	37.1	43.3	52.7	58.9	62.0	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Median Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Science (out of 100)	31.5	Similar Schools	Intermediate	4	7	0	22.5	25.8	29.7	35.4	39.2	42.7	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	1508	0	16.1	33.1	41.3	53.7	61.9	66.0	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*SGPs were first calculated for the 2014-15 school year, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Category 5: Academic Achievement

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in ELA (out of 100)	6.1%	Similar Schools	Low	2	15	0	3%	6%	9%	13%	16%	23%	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	208	0	2%	11%	25%	45%	58%	65%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Math (out of 100)	1.5%	Similar Schools	Very Low	1	15	0	0%	1.9%	4.9%	9.4%	12.4%	17.5%	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	208	0	0%	6.8%	17.9%	34.6%	45.7%	51.3%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Science (out of 100)	0.9%	Similar Schools	Intermediate	4	15	0	0%	0.3%	0.8%	1.6%	2.2%	3.6%	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	205	0	0%	3.0%	8.9%	17.7%	23.6%	26.6%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding Standards in Social Studies (out of 100)	0.0%	Similar Schools	Very Low	1	15	0	0%	0.7%	2.1%	4.2%	5.6%	9.7%	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	201	0	0%	3.7%	11.1%	22.2%	29.6%	33.3%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

*The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.

Category 6: Prepared for Success

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of 8th Graders Exceeding Standards on State Assessments (out of 100) *The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.	0.0%	Similar Schools	Very Low	1	15	0	0%	0.4%	1.2%	2.3%	3.1%	3.9%	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	184	0	0%	2.8%	8.3%	16.7%	22.2%	25.0%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of 3rd Graders Exceeding Standards on State Assessments (out of 100) *The M-STEP assessment was first administered in 2014-15, so prior years of data are not currently available.	0.8%	Similar Schools	Low	2	14	0	0%	0.7%	2.1%	4.2%	5.6%	8.9%	
		All Schools	Very Low	1	203	0	0%	3.5%	10.5%	21.0%	28.0%	31.5%	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Metric	Current Year	Comparison	Rating	Pts Earned	# of Schools	# Prior Years	Min Value [V. Low]	Low	Intermediate	High	V. High	Max Value	
Percent of Former 8th Graders on Track at end of 9th Grade (out of 100) *For 2014-15, we report the on-track rate for students who attended EAA high schools but do not include the results in the overall Performance Framework calculations.	31.0%	Similar Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
		All Schools	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								Min Value [Sig Declined]	Declined	Maintained(-)	Maintained(+)	Improved	Significantly Improved
		Prior Year(s)	NA	NA		NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA